

Applicant : J. Stuart Cumming  
Appl. No. : 09/574,441  
Examiner : David H. Willse  
Docket No. : 13533.4024

### REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application is requested.

The allowance of Claims 1, 8, 12-19, 23, 25-26, 39 and 47-48 is noted with appreciation.

By the present amendment, the typographical errors in Claims 12, 41 and 48 have been corrected. Dependent Claim 51 has been rewritten in independent form as Claim 52.

Furthermore, Claim 50 which was rejected has been amended to more precisely define over the prior art. It is respectfully submitted that this claim clearly defines over the cited patent to Glick. Additionally, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner may have inadvertently mischaracterized some of the components shown in Glick's Figures. In particular, Item 12 in Figure 2 was described by the Examiner as a posteriorly vaulted frame; whereas, it is in fact one of two optics. Item 16 in Figure 2 is disclosed as a fixation member. Both of 12 and 16 are described as deformable, see Column 6, lines 66 and 67. The present frame as defined in Claim 50 is rigid. Furthermore, nowhere in the Glick disclosure is there a description of the optic being configured to engage in an edge portion of the frame opening.

Applicant : J. Stuart Cumming  
Appl. No. : 09/574,441  
Examiner : David H. Willse  
Docket No. : 13533.4024

In view of the foregoing, favorable reconsideration and allowance of amended Claim 50 and rewritten Claim 52 along with the other allowed claims is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Dated: May 26, 2004

By: 

Samuel B. Stone  
Reg. No. 19,297

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP  
4 Park Plaza, Suite 1600  
Irvine, CA 92614-2558  
Tel. 949-567-6700  
Fax: 949-567-6710  
Customer Number: 34313